**From:** George Kaiser [redacted]
**Sent:** Friday, October 08, 2010 12:58 PM
**To:** Steve Mitchell; Ken Levit
**Cc:** [redacted]
**Subject:** RE: Solyndra Update

As we discussed briefly, I think the same political calculus holds for the DOD. Why don't you pursue your contacts in the WH to follow up on the casual comment during the plant visit and we can possibly reinforce the effort so long as it is in the form of "I thought you should know, in case it comes up" rather than "can you help with this."

Keep us up to speed.

---

**From:** Steve Mitchell
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 06, 2010 3:07 PM
**To:** George Kaiser; 'ken@[redacted]
**Cc:** [redacted]
**Subject:** RE: Solyndra Update

Understood. The WH meeting is more about assistance in selling panels to the government than it is about getting the DOE loan revised. The WH has offered to help in the past and we do have a contact within the WH that we are working with. I think the company is hoping that we have some unnatural relationship that can open bigger doors – I've cautioned them that no one really has those relationships anymore.

---

**From:** George Kaiser
**Sent:** Wednesday, October 06, 2010 3:04 PM
**To:** Steve Mitchell; 'ken@[redacted]
**Cc:** [redacted]
**Subject:** RE: Solyndra Update

I question the assumption that WH is the path to pursue when both of your issues are with DOE. I doubt whether Rouse/Browner would intervene and, if they did, I am concerned that DOE/Chu would resent the intervention and your problem could get more difficult. I would see an appeal as only a last resort and, even then, questionable. We need to discuss.

**From:** George Kaiser
**To:** Steve Mitchell
**Cc:** [redacted] 'Ken Levit' [redacted]
**Sent:** Fri Mar 05 18:38:52 2010
**Subject:** RE:

Sounds good. I assume that we would not move ahead with the offering until we have formal DOE approval or would you issue while you are under due diligence?

BTW, a couple of weeks ago when Ken and I were visiting with a group of Administration folks in DC who are in charge of the Stimulus process (White House, not DOE) and Solyndra came up, every one of them responded simultaneously about their thorough knowledge of the Solyndra story, suggesting it was one of their prime poster children.

---

**From:** Steve Mitchell
**Sent:** Friday, March 05, 2010 3:39 PM
**To:** George Kaiser
**Cc:** [redacted] 'Ken Levit'
**Subject:** FW:

George,

Chris Gronet had a good call with Jonathan Silver of the DOE today. Apparently our application has been caught up with several other groups who were also wanting a second bite at the DOE loan guaranty apple. This started a policy discussion as to whether a company should be able to get a second loan. Jonathan Silver championed the cause that they should and he has just this week apparently won that battle. He would not say that we are the first one that will be considered but he all but did – he conceded that we are the only company to have actually closed and funded on our loan and most of the other companies still have no revenues. He has asked for another call for next wed or thur but said he will not have an answer then but that he is hoping to release an answer with a couple of weeks. To be clear, then "answer" we are looking for is that the DOE will then proceed on formal due diligence and toward a term sheet – so not definitive that we get the loan guaranty but broadly (including Goldman, MS and others close to the DOE process) seen as a very positive sign as it is the same dillgence that the DOE conducted on the front end of the fab (same engineering teams and the environmental – which was the single largest gating item last time – is already done on the entire



CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY

sight). So it appears things are headed in the right direction and Chu is apparently staying involved in Solyndra's application and continues to talk up the company as a success story.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Steve

---

**From:** Chris Gronet [redacted]
**Sent:** Friday, March 05, 2010 2:37 PM
**To:** Steve Mitchell
**Subject:**

Hi Steve,

Jonathan Silver was very positive but didn't have a definite answer on diligence yet. We will talk again next Thurs.

Chris Gronet
CEO
Solyndra, Inc.
[redacted]

This e-mail and any accompanying attachments contain information that is confidential to Solyndra, Inc.
The information is intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed. Any review, disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of this e-mail communication by others is strictly prohibited.
If you are not the intended recipient, please notify us immediately by returning this message to the sender and delete all copies.
Thank you for your cooperation.



CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY AVI-HCEC-0055355

**From:** Steve Mitchell [redacted]
**Sent:** Saturday, February 27, 2010 2:00 PM
**To:** Ken Levit
**Subject:** Re: KPMG

That's awesome! Get us a doe loan

---

**From:** Ken Levit [redacted]
**To:** Steve Mitchell
**Sent:** Sat Feb 27 07:55:17 2010
**Subject:** Re: KPMG

They about had an orgasm in Biden's office when we mentioned Solyndra.

---

**From:** Steve Mitchell [redacted]
**To:** Ken Levit
**Sent:** Sat Feb 27 07:49:07 2010
**Subject:** Re: KPMG

We've been giving [redacted] updated numbers. They are working on some bill asking for money but I've just been getting them dollar numbers so I don't really know. That process is foreign to me

---

**From:** Ken Levit [redacted]
**To:** Steve Mitchell
**Sent:** Sat Feb 27 06:46:15 2010
**Subject:** Fw: KPMG

Is stuff going on? I got a report yesterday from a lobbyist at capital that was a bit screwy about quality jobs act changes. Curious.

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----
From: Ken Levit [redacted]
Sent: Sat, Feb 27, 2010 6:30 am
Subject: Re: KPMG

Thanks. We met with ARRA Recovery Team in Biden's office--they seemed to love our Brady Project--also all big fans of Solyndra.



CONFIDENTIAL AND PROPRIETARY AVI-HCEC-0056166